



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/782,092

02/18/2004

Timothy P. Mann

A42

4767

36378

7590

09/09/2008

VMWARE, INC.

DARRYL SMITH

3401 Hillview Ave.

PALO ALTO, CA 94304

EXAMINER

SAXENA, AKASH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2128

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/09/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/782,092 | Applicant(s) MANN, TIMOTHY P. | |
| | Examiner AKASH SAXENA | Art Unit 2128 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AKASH SAXENA. (3) Darryl Smith (Reg# 37723).

(2) Alex Kosowski (Primary). (4) Rajeev Madnawat (w/Mr. Smith).

Date of Interview: 03 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Russell.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representative is going to provide their interpretation of term "substantially" along with court cases and rationale, for 112 ¶2nd. For rejection under 112 ¶1st, relating to plurality of timers, applicant has agreed to change it to "a timer". Further, the term "real time" was discussed and applicant has agreed to review the claim to keep the distinction and association between the real time and virtual/apparent times/timers.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---|--|
| /Akash Saxena/ Examiner, Art Unit 2128 | |
|---|--|